

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE **Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: **COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS**

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO 09/340,618 06/28/99

KATZ

R

244/067-(664

WM01/0604

RONALD A. KATZ TECHNOLOGY LICENSING, L.P. 9220 SUNSET BLVD.

SUITE 315

LOS ANGELES CA 90069

WEAVER, S **ART UNIT** PAPER NUMBER

EXAMINER

2645 **DATE MAILED:**

06/04/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks



Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/340,618 Applicant(s)

Examiner

Art Unit 2645

Katz



		Scott L. Weaver	2645	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the corres	pondence addr	ess
	for Reply ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET	TO EXPIRE three MONTH	I(S) FROM	
THE	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.			
af	nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C ter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days	cation.		·
	e considered timely. I period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory	period will apply and will expire SIX (6	6) MONTHS from	the mailing date of thi
CC	ommunication. re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, b			•
- Any	reply received by the Office later than three months after the	• • •		
Status	rned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).			
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Mar 22, 2	2001		
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL . 2b) 💢 This ac	tion is non-final.		
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance closed in accordance with the practice under Ex pa			e merits is
Disposi	tion of Claims			
4) 💢	Claim(s) 16-18 and 30-51	is/are	pending in th	e application.
4	fa) Of the above, claim(s)	is/ar	e withdrawn f	rom consideration.
5) 🗆	Claim(s)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	is/are allowed	•
6) 💢	Claim(s) <u>16-18 and 30-51</u>		is/are rejected	•
7) 🗆	Claim(s)		is/are objected	d to.
8) 🗆	Claims	are subject to restric	ction and/or ele	ection requirement.
Applica	tion Papers			
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	e objected to by the Examiner.		
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) approved	b) disapprov	ved.
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	iner.		
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
13)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign p	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d).	
a) [All b) Some* c) None of:			
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have	ve been received.		
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have	ve been received in Application N	lo	•
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority deposite application from the International Bure ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the action for a	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	this National S	Stage
14)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic		e).	
Attachm				
	otice of References Cited (PTO-892)	18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper	No(s).	
	otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	19) Notice of Informal Patent Application		
17) 🔲 In	formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	20) Other:		

Art Unit 2645

Part III DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicant's arguments filed 3/22/01 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 112

2. Claims 16-18, and 30-51 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claims 16, 30, 41, the preamble of the claims presents that the subject matter is a process, system, or method (respectively) "for executing formats", however, neither the steps nor the means as presented clearly accomplishes the goal of the preamble that being "for executing formats", thus it is not clear that the claim limitations as presented are complete as the suggested purpose of the claims i.e. "for executing formats..." does not accomplish the purpose of the preamble as presented, it is not clear what 'formats' are relating to with respect to the remainder of the claim limitations which follow nor is it clear as to the execution thereof.

Conclusion

3. Due to the nature of the confusion noted above in paragraph 1, the definite patentability of such claims as presented can not be determined at this time, however, the claims as presented do not appear to read on any particular prior art of record in the parent application. The prior art made of record in parent application 09/128,936 and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

The IDS papers filed 5/23/01 are of record in the application.

-3-



Serial No. 09/340,618

Art Unit 2645

4. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314, (for formal communications intended for entry)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington. VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Scott L. Weaver whose telephone number is (703) 308-6974. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Fan Tsang, can be reached on (703) 305-4895.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4700.

SCOTT L. WEAVER
PRIMARY EXAMINER